How can pseudorange measurements be generated from code tracking?

Every GNSS receiver processes the received signals to obtain an estimate of the propagation time of the signal from the satellites to the receiver. These propagation times are then expressed in meters to solve for the user position using trilateration.

Because the resulting distances are not only related to the distance between the receiver antenna and the satellites, i.e. the range, but also to an imperfect alignment of the receiver’s time scale to the GPS time scale, they are called pseudoranges.

Once a GNSS receiver’s acquisition stage has aligned the received and the locally generated code within less than a half chip period, a fine, closed-loop synchronization takes over and keeps the two codes aligned. Generally, the tracking system in GNSS receivers consists of a delay lock loop (DLL) for code tracking and a phase lock loop (PLL) for carrier phase tracking.

The measurements produced by the DLL are the pseudoranges. The PLL generates carrier phase measurements, which can be used on their own or to smooth the “raw” pseudorange measurements from the DLL. In the context of this article, we will only consider code-based pseudoranges.

Considering Galileo E1 or GPS L1, the code itself is characterized by the so-called integer ambiguity problem. This means that, without additional information, a single period of a code (one-millisecond duration for L1, four milliseconds for E1) cannot be distinguished from any other code period, and as such, when computing travel-time differences among the tracked signals, these differences can only be obtained modulo the length of the code.

Fortunately, in the case of code measurements we can easily solve this integer ambiguity by exploiting the navigation message structure. Once the receiver is effectively tracking a signal, it also decodes the navigation message and achieves frame synchronization.

A counter is then associated to every single channel, so that each sample is labeled with a chip (code phase), a bit (within a navigation message subframe), and a subframe number. The receiver can then use these counters and the code phase measured in the DLL to compute the propagation times.

In the following discussion, we will consider the two main techniques that can be adopted to compute travel times on the basis of counter values.

Common Reception Time

The common reception time method is usually implemented in commercial receivers. It estimates the pseudoranges by setting a common reception time across all the channels. This is equivalent to taking a snapshot of all the channels’ counters at a given time.

Figure 1 illustrates this technique. Before describing the figure in detail, please note that in the following discussion we will often refer to the telemetry (TLM) word, which is always the first word of every GPS subframe and is characterized by its first eight bits (i.e., the preamble). With this TLM word, a receiver can easily identify the beginning of the subframe and use the TLM to define the counters as the distance of the current sample from the beginning of the current subframe. In the case of Galileo, a structure similar to the GPS subframe can be found in the F/NAV synchronization.
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The left part of Figure 1 shows that all satellites broadcast the start of their navigation message synchronously (to within the accuracy of the satellite clock, which can be easily accounted for and is thus ignored herein). The right part of the figure indicates the reception time of the signals from various satellites.

The time elapsed from the beginning of the subframe (TLM in Figure 1) to the code period currently received in each channel (X, Y, Z, W in the figure) is different for each channel, since the propagation time is different for all satellites.

The different receive time offsets are computed by measuring the time elapsed from the reception of the last subframe and the receiving time instant set by the receiver, as shown in Figure 1. This is equivalent to the computation of the differences among the channel counters.

This procedure yields relative arrival times between satellites, but not the absolute pseudoranges. To determine the set of pseudoranges for the first time, the channel with the earliest arriving subframe is assumed as reference and a minimum travel time is assigned to the reference channel based on the known orbits of the satellites and typical user altitudes (e.g., its value, for GPS, is in a range between 65 and 85 milliseconds).

All other pseudoranges are then derived with respect to the reference channel by adding the relative-arrival times. Referring to Figure 1, the time differences $\delta_i$ can be computed as $\delta_i = t^R_{X,i} - t^R_{N,i}$, where $t^R_{N,i}$ is the time when the receiver computes the pseudoranges and it is common to all the tracked signals.

The preceding discussion is included for illustrative purposes. In practice, a common signal-processing design has each channel determine the time that the current signal sample was transmitted from a satellite (again, by decoding the navigation message). Then, by differencing these times from a common receiver time, the pseudoranges are generated. However, both methods are equivalent.

This method can produce pseudoranges at any time, without waiting for a particular bit front on each channel.

**Common Transmission Time**

In contrast to the common reception time approach, the common transmission time technique is based on the satellites’ transmission times. In fact, as mentioned earlier, all satellites broadcast data synchronously but, due to different propagation delays, the user does not receive the data from every satellite synchronously.

As a reference bit (e.g., the first of the TLM) is identified in every channel, a receiver compares it to the time of arrival of the same reference bit from a different satellite. As with the common reception time method, the channel with the lowest time counter is selected as the reference, its propagation delay is chosen in a range between 65 and 85 milliseconds (the approximate propagation time for GPS signals), and all other channels will have a longer propagation delay, which will be measured. This concept is sketched in Figure 2.

In Figure 2, the reference bit is set equal to the easily identifiable TLM. If we suppose that the TLM is identified
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for all the tracked satellites, then the receiver measures the time difference between the arrival times of the TLM for each satellite relative to the reference satellite. Referring to Figure 2, this time difference \( \delta_i \) can therefore be written as \( \delta_i = t_{Rx,i} - t_{TLM} \), where \( t_{Rx,i} \) represents the time of reception of the subframe for the i-th satellite, while \( t_{TLM} \) is the time relative to the reference satellite.

With these time differences, the pseudoranges can be written as

\[
\rho_i = \rho_1 + c\Delta b + c\delta_i
\]

where \( \Delta b \) is the time scale bias between the receiver clock and the ones on board of the satellites, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \rho_i \) is the pseudorange relative to the reference channel and \( \delta_i \) is the delay of the i-th satellite channel with respect to the reference satellite.

This kind of approach has some disadvantages when implemented in a real-time receiver:

a) It requires waiting until all the channels have received the same data bit to compute the pseudoranges.

b) Since the reference bit from each satellite arrives at a different time instant, in general, the receiver will have different clock errors at each epoch resulting from the integration of the receiver oscillator’s frequency offset. That said, if the receiver’s oscillator is sufficiently stable, this will not introduce significant errors.

c) In case of a joint GPS/Galileo scenario, this technique is unfeasible in real-time implementations, because the receiver would have to work with two independent satellite systems, characterized by different data structures, and two separate reference channels (one for GPS and one for Galileo). Consequently, this approach would force the receiver to keep a large amount of information in a buffer, with a significant waste of resources as well as a non-negligible delay in the position, velocity, and time (PVT) computation.

**Comparative Results**

Without considering their respective pros and cons, the two different approaches are virtually equivalent in terms of computed pseudoranges as long as the receiver clock drift is relatively small. In Figure 3 we show the difference between the pseudoranges obtained using the two techniques, taking as an example the PRN30 GPS satellite.

Because the pseudoranges are not computed at the same time, we needed to interpolate the results obtained with the common reception time method. As can be seen, the differences are relatively small and within the expected level.
of noise for this particular receiver. The pseudoranges obtained using the two different methods are sometimes slightly different, but the receiver positions obtained using one or the other of the two methods are similar, and the variance in the accuracy of the position along the three axes X, Y, Z has the same magnitude in both cases, as can be seen in Figure 4.

Summary
As expected, the both methods of generating pseudorange measurements yield statistically identical measurements and computed position estimates. However, from a receiver designer point of view, the common reception time method is more suitable for implementation, because the pseudorange measurements are performed at the same time instant for all the channels, while the common transmission time technique can be considered more didactic and intuitive.
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